Evidence linking hand washing to improved child feeding outcome

Leanne Unicomb, Head Water Sanitation and Hygiene Research Group, icddr,b

Fosiul Nizame, Debashish Biswas, Probir Ghosh, Stephen Luby



leanne@icddrb.org



Presentation overview

- Background, study questions
- Part 1: Baseline study
 - Methods
 - Summary findings
- Pilot intervention description
- Part 2: Trials of Improved Practices (TIPs)
 - Methods
 - Findings on acceptability feasibility
 - Findings on factors associated with target behaviors
- Summary, conclusions

Background

Bangladesh children <5 nutritional status



Bangladesh Demographic and Health Surveys 2011

Decline during complementary feeding age



KK Saha et al (ICDDR,B), Food and Nutrition Bulletin 2009

Infections in Young Children

- Children <2 experience 3-5 episodes of diarrhea annually in developing countries
- Peak is at 6-11 months of age



Source: Bulletin of the WHO 2003;81:197-204 Bars represent the 25th-75th percentiles across 20 countries (1990-2000)

Infection

- \downarrow food intake (appetite)
- Impaired nutrient absorption
- ↑ metabolic requirements
- Impaired transport to target tissues

- \downarrow barrier protection
- \downarrow gastric acid production
- \downarrow intestinal renewal
- Impaired immune function

↑ nutrient losses

Malnutrition

Impact of diarrhea on stunting at 24 months



25% of growth faltering attributable to >5 episodes of diarrhea in first 24 months of life

^{2.50}Checkley W, et al. Int J Epid, 2008;37:816-830

8

Hand washing reduces disease transmission*



*F Diagram; A Almedon et al et al 1997; Hygiene Evaluation Procedures: Approaches and Methods for assessing Water and Sanitation Related Hygiene Practices.

**RI Ejemot et al, 2009, Handwashing for preventing diarrhea (Review). Cochrane Library

Common use of bare hands









Pictures; Fosiul Nizame

খাবার আগে

Common use of bare hands







Observed HWWS; 1-4%



Pictures; Fosiul Nizame

খাবার আগে

Research question

How can a hand washing intervention be incorporated into a nutrition intervention?

1. Need data on knowledge, practice, facilities (infrastructure important)

2. Need assessment of acceptability, feasibility

Methods

Study overview



Selecting communities



Baseline study

Baseline methods

- Quantitative survey, using standardized questionnaire (n=350)
- Qualitative
 In-depth interview (n=24)
 - Focus group discussion (n=6)
 - Motivational exercise (n=6)



Key baseline results

Factors influencing hand washing behavior



Summary baseline hand washing findings

- Limited knowledge of the link to childhood disease prevention.
- Soap available but not conveniently located
 - Soap in 96% homes
 - Soap at 10% of HW location
 - Distant location of soap and water from the child feeding place = BARRIER
 - Social norm to wash hands with water only

Intervention

Front line workers

Targeted primary audience (Mothers of 6-24 month old children) through



- month old children) through
- Household visits
 - -Counseling & Demo of complementary feeding
- Mothers' group meetings
- Video show at village meeting

Social mobilization



- Targeted secondary audience
- (Community leaders, father & grandparents) through
- Orientation of Promoters, Religious leaders, village doctors, school teachers, Union chairman & member
- Video show at village meeting

Mass media

TV & radio

Enabling environment

Hand wash station near child feeding area





Key messages

- Wash hands with soap
 - Before child food preparation
 - Before child feeding
- Complementary food for children 6 months and above
 - Adequate quantity (dependent on age)
 - Adequate variety (at least four food groups)
 - Adequate feeding frequency
 - Continue breast feeding

Trial



*Households with child aged 6-23 months ²⁸

Qualitative assessments N=80





Informal Discussion



Unstructured Observation



Group Discussion



Video Observation 30

Trial findings

Hand washing with soap before child food preparation & feeding child (%)





Quantity & frequency of complementary food (%)



What affects reported hand washing with soap at *both* key times?

Determinants	Risk ratio ⁺ Before (N=454)	Risk ratio [†] After (N=444)
Socio demographic characteristic Mother's education (above primary) Wealth (High)	2.28 [*] 2.10 [*]	1.00 1.01
Exposure to the intervention Health workers home visit Mothers group meeting Hand wash station/soap near cooking/feeding area	- - -	1.89 [*] 1.11 [*] 1.29 [*]

What affects acceptable complementary feeding?

Determinants	Risk ratio [†] Before (N=454)	Risk ratio [†] After (N=444)
Socio demographic characteristic		
Wealth (richest)	1.51 [*]	1.24*
Age of child		
9-11 months	1.15	3.21 [*]
12-23 months	1.68 [*]	3.26 *
Exposure to the intervention		
Health workers home visit	-	1.62 [*]
Mothers group meeting	-	1.30 [*]
Recalled TV message	1.59 [*]	1.00

⁺ adjusted for all variables shown ; * statistically significant

Results summary

After ≥80 days;

- 65% household maintained hand wash station near child feeding area
- Hand washing with soap before food preparation & feeding
 - Reported increased to 78%
 - Observed ~50%
- Appropriate food quantity and frequency for child's age
 - Reported ~40%
 - Observed ~60% (quantity)

Trial summary

- Improving both hand washing and complementary feeding practices is possible when barriers are systematically addressed
- Hand washing practices of mothers can improve by addressing convenience and improving awareness of health risk

Study conclusions

- Including hand washing in a nutrition intervention makes sense
 - Food preparation and feeding involves bare hands
 - Improved hygiene can reduce infection
- Incorporating hand washing component was successful in the small scale trial
 - Acceptable and feasible
 - Improved reported and observed practices
 - Location of hand washing facilities increases convenience and facilitates hand washing

Translating research to practice

- Multi-sectoral collaboration
- Advocacy resulted in adoption by multiple stakeholders
- National campaign adopted hand washing with soap with the infant and young child feeding intervention
- National strategy has been developed by relevant government departments
 - Department of Public Health Engineering
 - Department of Public Health Nutrition

Acknowledgements

Alive & Thrive

- Tina Sanghvi
- Sumitro Roy
- Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
- Study participants

icddr,b

- Debashish Biswas
- Probir Ghosh
- Fosiul Alam Nizame
- Aasma Afroz
- Hosne Nur Rob
- Rezwana Hossain
- Abdullah-Al-Masud
- Rabeya Hena
- Nirnita Khisa
- Shrila Sarkar
- Shewly Akter



For more information

Visit www.aliveandthrive.org



Extra slides

Reported hand washing with soap is not a credible indicator; reported v observed for same households



Graph: Tarique Huda

Sub- Study; use of own v study provided hand wash station

- 80 HHs received Behavior Change Communication (BCC) about handwashing with soap/soapy water and CF.
- Only 40 HHs received a handwashing station with free detergent powder.
- The remaining 40 HHs were motivated to put their own soap/soapy water and a water vessel near the food preparation area and the area of feeding.





Study v own hand wash station

At endline;

- Observed handwashing with soap
 - Study HWS group; among 80%
 - own HWS group; 50%
- Observed handwashing stations convenient to the cooking and child feeding places
 - Study HWS group 90%
 - own HWS group 40%
- Reported recommended quantity and frequency of the complementary food
 - Study HWS group 50%
 - own HWS group 32%